UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,770	05/04/2005	Johann Wiesmuller	HUBR-1281	4179	
	7590 04/29/200 & JAWORSKI, LLP	9	EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AV	E		WEIER, ANTHONY J		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10103-3198		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
		10/53	3,770	WIESMULLER ET AL.			
		Exam	ner	Art Unit			
		Anthor	ny Weier	1794			
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHORT WHICHE\ - Extensions after SIX (6) - If NO perior - Failure to r Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE N of time may be available under the provision ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com d for reply is specified above, the maximum s eply within the set or extended period for repl seceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIC, o event, however, may a reput will expire SIX (6) MONTE application to become ABA	ATION. Day be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status							
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) fils action is <b>FINAL</b> . be this application is in condition and in accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matte	-	e merits is		
Disposition o	of Claims						
4a) 0 5)	m(s) 21-35 is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/am(s) is/am(s) is/am(s) is/are allowed.  m(s) 21-35 is/are rejected.  m(s) is/are objected to.  m(s) are subject to restrict or estrict o	are withdrawn from ction and/or election	on requirement.	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of D 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	nmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -			

Application/Control Number: 10/533,770 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 21-24 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heidlas et al.

Heidlas et al discloses a process wherein volatile flavors are removed from a monophase material wherein the starting material has a fat content of, for example, 10% fat, said process also including extraction treatment within using hydrocarbons (e.g. propane) at a temperature and pressure within the ranges called for in the instant claims (e.g. 20 C and 20 bar or 2 MPa). It should be noted that natural flavoring is removed primarily through the second extraction but also during the first extraction (col. 4, lines 8-12). The final extracted material is separated from the compressed gas (by using in part temperature change) to provide a liquid extract or concentrate (see Examples 1 and 2). Heidlas et al also discloses said gases used to be recycled for economic reasons (see col. 3, lines 10-15).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 25, 26, and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heidlas et al.

Heidlas et al discloses a process wherein volatile flavors are removed from a monophase material wherein the starting material has a fat content of, for example, 10% fat, said process also including extraction treatment using hydrocarbons (e.g. propane) at a temperature and pressure within the ranges called for in the instant claims (e.g. 20 C and 20 bar or 2 MPa). It should be noted that natural flavoring is removed primarily through the second extraction but also during the first extraction (col. 4, lines 8-12). The final extracted material is separated from the compressed gas to provide a liquid extract or concentrate (see Examples 1 and 2). Heidlas et al also discloses said gases used to be recycled for economic reasons (see col. 3, lines 10-15).

The claims further call for treating lutter water produced in fruit and vegetable processing. Although Heidlas et al is silent regarding treatment of waste waters from processing, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have treated any material containing aroma containing natural substances including processed olives as a matter of preference employing the method set forth in Heidlas et al. It is not seen wherein the particular source of, for example, olive material (such as waste water) would have made for a patentable distinction.

The claims further call for the introduction of an entrainer such as alcohol with the compressed hydrocarbon. Although Heidlas et al is silent during the use of such an entrainer during the first extraction step, an alcohol retainer (e.g. ethanol) is employed Application/Control Number: 10/533,770 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

with regard to the second extraction step which includes the use of compressed carbon dioxide. It would have been further obvious to have included said entrainer in the first extraction step for the same purpose.

## Response to Arguments

**5.** Applicant's arguments filed 2/8/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that contrary to the instant invention Heidlas et al requires the use of a two extraction system for extracting natural aromas. However, the instant claims do not limit the process to a single step and/or excluding the second step of extraction as disclosed in Heidlas et al.

Applicant argues that Heidlas teaches away from the instant invention as fruits and vegetable juices and waters produced in processing of same containing practically no fats and oils which is an essential component to be removed in the Heidlas et al process. Heidlas et al, however, does not limit processing to the few substances expressly recited therein. Rather, Heidlas et al extends the treatment therein to "all other natural substances containing fat and oil as well as aroma substances are...also suitable" (col. 2, lines 39-41). Olives are a particular type of fruit that contain both fat and oil as well as aroma substances, and it would have been obvious to have treated same as a known alternative source of oil and aroma..

All other arguments have been addressed in view of the rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

Art Unit: 1794

this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony Weier whose telephone number is 571-272-1409. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,770 Page 6

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Weier
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1794

/Anthony Weier/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Anthony Weier April 25, 2009